



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.    |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/559,469                            | 04/26/2000   | Shinichi Kudo        | Q59040                  | 1492                |  |
| 7                                     | 590 03/31/2003   |                      |                         |                     |  |
| Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC |  |                      | EXAMINER                |                     |  |
|                                       | 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW<br>Washington, DC 20037-3202 |                      |                         | NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC |  |
|                                       |  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |  |
|                                       |  |                      | 3713                    | 16                  |  |
|                                       |  |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003 | 10                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b></b>  | Applicati n N .  | Applicant(s)   | _   |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/559,469   | KUDO, SHINICHI   |   |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |
|  | Binh-An D. Nguyen  | 3713   |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence addre   | ss  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACI<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this appliced in the control of | cation. A proper reply<br>ch places the applica  | to a<br>tion in                                   |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>statutory period for reply originally set in   | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 36(a) and the appropriate e e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2) | MPEP  xtension fee sion fee under as set forth in |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal  |  |   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   |  |  |   |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth   |  | (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |   |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |   |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:  | ing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims  | <b>5</b> .  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec  | tion(s): <u>35 U.S.C 112, first paragra</u>  | <u>aph</u> .   |   |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed a   | amendment   |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See  |  | sidered but does NOT   | place the   |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were   | newly   |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |  | nd an   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.   | •  |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is   | a) approved or b) disap  | proved by the Examir   | ner.  |  |  |
| 9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | ·  |   |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |  |  |   |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   |  |  |   |  |  |

Continuati n Sheet (PTO-303) 09/559,469



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art and reasons of obviousness set forth in the Final Rejection, Paper No. 12, November 4, 2002, do teach towards limitations claimed by the Applicant.

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700